CHAPTER **17** 

# Integration of Ordinary Differential Equations

## 17.0 Introduction

Problems involving ordinary differential equations (ODEs) can always be reduced to the study of sets of first-order differential equations. For example the second-order equation

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + q(x)\frac{dy}{dx} = r(x)$$
(17.0.1)

can be rewritten as two first-order equations,

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = z(x)$$

$$\frac{dz}{dx} = r(x) - q(x)z(x)$$
(17.0.2)

where z is a new variable. This exemplifies the procedure for an arbitrary ODE. The usual choice for the new variables is to let them be just derivatives of each other (and of the original variable). Occasionally, it is useful to incorporate into their definition some other factors in the equation, or some powers of the independent variable, for the purpose of mitigating singular behavior that could result in overflows or increased roundoff error. Let common sense be your guide: If you find that the original variables are smooth in a solution, while your auxiliary variables are doing crazy things, then figure out why and choose different auxiliary variables.

The generic problem in ordinary differential equations is thus reduced to the study of a set of N coupled *first-order* differential equations for the functions  $y_i$ , i = 0, 1, ..., N - 1, having the general form

$$\frac{dy_i(x)}{dx} = f_i(x, y_0, \dots, y_{N-1}), \qquad i = 0, \dots, N-1$$
(17.0.3)

where the functions  $f_i$  on the right-hand side are known.

A problem involving ODEs is not completely specified by its equations. Even more crucial in determining how to attack the problem numerically is the nature of the problem's boundary conditions. Boundary conditions are algebraic conditions on the values of the functions  $y_i$  in (17.0.3). In general they can be satisfied at discrete specified points, but do not hold between those points, i.e., are not preserved automatically by the differential equations. Boundary conditions can be as simple as requiring that certain variables have certain numerical values, or as complicated as a set of nonlinear algebraic equations among the variables.

Usually, it is the nature of the boundary conditions that determines which numerical methods will be feasible. Boundary conditions divide into two broad categories.

- In *initial value problems* all the  $y_i$  are given at some starting value  $x_s$ , and it is desired to find the  $y_i$ 's at some final point  $x_f$ , or at some discrete list of points (for example, at tabulated intervals).
- In *two-point boundary value problems*, on the other hand, boundary conditions are specified at more than one x. Typically, some of the conditions will be specified at  $x_s$  and the remainder at  $x_f$ .

This chapter will consider exclusively the initial value problem, deferring two-point boundary value problems, which are generally more difficult, to Chapter 18.

The underlying idea of any routine for solving the initial value problem is always this: Rewrite the dy's and dx's in (17.0.3) as finite steps  $\Delta y$  and  $\Delta x$ , and multiply the equations by  $\Delta x$ . This gives algebraic formulas for the change in the functions when the independent variable x is "stepped" by one "stepsize"  $\Delta x$ . In the limit of making the stepsize very small, a good approximation to the underlying differential equation is achieved. Literal implementation of this procedure results in *Euler's method* (equation 17.1.1, below), which is, however, *not* recommended for any practical use. Euler's method is conceptually important, however; one way or another, practical methods all come down to this same idea: Add small increments to your functions corresponding to derivatives (right-hand sides of the equations) multiplied by stepsizes.

In this chapter we consider three major types of practical numerical methods for solving initial value problems for ODEs:

- Runge-Kutta methods
- Richardson extrapolation and its particular implementation as the Bulirsch-Stoer method
- predictor-corrector methods, also known as multistep methods.

A brief description of each of these types follows.

1. *Runge-Kutta* methods propagate a solution over an interval by combining the information from several Euler-style steps (each involving one evaluation of the right-hand f's), and then using the information obtained to match a Taylor series expansion up to some higher order.

2. *Richardson extrapolation* uses the powerful idea of extrapolating a computed result to the value that *would* have been obtained if the stepsize had been very much smaller than it actually was. In particular, extrapolation to zero stepsize is the desired goal. The first practical ODE integrator that implemented this idea was developed by Bulirsch and Stoer, and so extrapolation methods are often called Bulirsch-Stoer methods.

3. *Predictor-corrector* methods or *multistep methods* store the solution along the way, and use those results to extrapolate the solution one step advanced; they

then correct the extrapolation using derivative information at the new point. These are best for very smooth functions.

Runge-Kutta used to be what you used when (i) you didn't know any better, or (ii) you had an intransigent problem where Bulirsch-Stoer was failing, or (iii) you had a trivial problem where computational efficiency was of no concern. However, advances in Runge-Kutta methods, particularly the development of higher-order methods, have made Runge-Kutta competitive with the other methods in many cases. Runge-Kutta succeeds virtually always; it is usually the fastest method when evaluating  $f_i$  is cheap and the accuracy requirement is not ultra-stringent ( $\lesssim 10^{-10}$ ), or in general when moderate accuracy ( $\lesssim 10^{-5}$ ) is required. Predictor-corrector methods have a relatively high overhead and so come into their own only when evaluating  $f_i$  is expensive. However, for many smooth problems, they are computationally more efficient than Runge-Kutta. In recent years, Bulirsch-Stoer has been replacing predictor-corrector in many applications, but it is too soon to say that predictorcorrector is dominated in all cases. However, it appears that only rather sophisticated predictor-corrector routines are competitive. Accordingly, we have chosen not to give an implementation of predictor-corrector in this book. We discuss predictorcorrector further in §17.6, so that you can use a packaged routine knowledgeably should you encounter a suitable problem. In our experience, the relatively simple Runge-Kutta and Bulirsch-Stoer routines we give are adequate for most problems.

Each of the three types of methods can be organized to monitor internal consistency. This allows numerical errors, which are inevitably introduced into the solution, to be controlled by automatic (*adaptive*) changing of the fundamental stepsize. We always recommend that adaptive stepsize control be implemented, and we will do so below.

In general, all three types of methods can be applied to any initial value problem. Each comes with its own set of debits and credits that must be understood before it is used.

Section 17.5 of this chapter treats the subject of *stiff equations*, relevant both to ordinary differential equations and also to partial differential equations (Chapter 20).

#### 17.0.1 Organization of the Routines in This Chapter

We have organized the routines in this chapter into three nested levels, enabling modularity and sharing common code wherever possible.

The highest level is the *driver* object, which starts and stops the integration, stores intermediate results, and generally acts as an interface with the user. There is nothing canonical about our driver object, Odeint. You should consider it to be an example, and you can customize it for your particular application.

The next level down is a *stepper* object. The stepper oversees the actual incrementing of the independent variable x. It knows how to call the underlying *algorithm* routine. It may reject the result, set a smaller stepsize, and call the algorithm routine again, until compatibility with a predetermined accuracy criterion has been achieved. The stepper's fundamental task is to take the largest stepsize consistent with specified performance. Only when this is accomplished does the true power of an algorithm come to light.

All our steppers are derived from a base object called StepperBase: StepperDopr5 and StepperDopr853 (two Runge-Kutta routines), StepperBS and StepperStoerm (two Bulirsch-Stoer routines), and StepperRoss and StepperSIE (for so-called stiff equations).

Standing apart from the stepper, but interacting with it at the same level, is an Output object. This is basically a container into which the stepper writes the output of the integration, but it has some intelligence of its own: It can save, or not save, intermediate results according to several different prescriptions that are specified by its constructor. In particular, it has the option to provide so-called dense output, that is, output at user-specified intermediate points without loss of efficiency.

The lowest or "nitty-gritty" level is the piece we call the *algorithm* routine. This implements the basic formulas of the method, starts with dependent variables  $y_i$  at x, and calculates new values of the dependent variables at the value x + h. The algorithm routine also yields some information about the quality of the solution after the step. The routine is dumb, however, in that it is unable to make any adaptive decision about whether the solution is of acceptable quality. Each algorithm routine is implemented as a member function dy() in its corresponding stepper object.

#### 17.0.2 The Odeint Object

It is a real time saver to have a single high-level interface to what are otherwise quite diverse methods. We use the Odeint driver for a variety of problems, notably including garden-variety ODEs or sets of ODEs, and definite integrals (augmenting the methods of Chapter 4). The Odeint driver is templated on the stepper. This means that you can usually change from one ODE method to another in just a few keystrokes. For example, changing from the Dormand-Prince fifth-order Runge-Kutta method to Bulirsch-Stoer is as simple as changing the template parameter from StepperDopr5 to StepperBS.

The Odeint constructor simply initializes a bunch of things, including a call to the stepper constructor. The meat is in the integrate routine, which repeatedly invokes the step routine of the stepper to advance the solution from  $x_1$  to  $x_2$ . It also calls the functions of the Output object to save the results at appropriate points.

odeint.n	template <class stepper=""></class>	
	struct Odeint {	
	Driver for ODE solvers with adaptive stepsize cont	trol. The template parameter should be one
	of the derived classes of StepperBase defining a particular integration algorithm.	
	static const Int MAXSTP=50000;	Take at most MAXSTP steps.
	Doub EPS;	
	Int nok;	
	Int nbad;	
	Int nvar;	
	Doub x1,x2,hmin;	
	bool dense;	true if dense output requested by
	VecDoub y,dydx;	out.
	VecDoub &ystart	
	Output &out	
	<pre>typename Stepper::Dtype &amp;derivs</pre>	Get the type of derivs from the
	Stepper s;	stepper.
	Int nstp;	
	Doub x,h;	
	Odeint(VecDoub_IO &ystartt,const Doub xx1,const Doub xx2,	
	const Doub atol,const Doub rtol,const Doub h1,	
	const Doub hminn,Output &outt,typename Stepper::Dtype &derivss);	
	Constructor sets everything up. The routine integrates starting values ystart[0nvar-1]	

from xx1 to xx2 with absolute tolerance atol and relative tolerance rtol. The quantity h1 should be set as a guessed first stepsize, hmin as the minimum allowed stepsize (can be zero). An Output object out should be input to control the saving of intermediate values.

On output, nok and nbad are the number of good and bad (but retried and fixed) steps taken, and ystart is replaced by values at the end of the integration interval. derivs is the user-supplied routine (function or functor) for calculating the right-hand side derivative. Does the actual integration. void integrate(); }; template<class Stepper> Odeint<Stepper>::Odeint(VecDoub\_IO &ystartt, const Doub xx1, const Doub xx2, const Doub atol, const Doub rtol, const Doub h1, const Doub hminn, Output &outt,typename Stepper::Dtype &derivss) : nvar(ystartt.size()), y(nvar),dydx(nvar),ystart(ystartt),x(xx1),nok(0),nbad(0), x1(xx1),x2(xx2),hmin(hminn),dense(outt.dense),out(outt),derivs(derivss), s(y,dydx,x,atol,rtol,dense) { EPS=numeric\_limits<Doub>::epsilon(); h=SIGN(h1,x2-x1);for (Int i=0;i<nvar;i++) y[i]=ystart[i];</pre> out.init(s.neqn,x1,x2); } template<class Stepper> void Odeint<Stepper>::integrate() { derivs(x,y,dydx); Store initial values. if (dense) out.out(-1,x,y,s,h);else out.save(x,y); for (nstp=0;nstp<MAXSTP;nstp++) {</pre> if ((x+h\*1.0001-x2)\*(x2-x1) > 0.0)If stepsize can overshoot, decrease. h=x2-x: s.step(h,derivs); Take a step. if (s.hdid == h) ++nok; else ++nbad; if (dense) out.out(nstp,x,y,s,s.hdid); else out.save(x,y); if  $((x-x2)*(x2-x1) \ge 0.0)$  { Are we done? for (Int i=0;i<nvar;i++) ystart[i]=y[i];</pre> Update ystart. if (out.kmax > 0 && abs(out.xsave[out.count-1]-x2) > 100.0\*abs(x2)\*EPS) Make sure last step gets saved. out.save(x,y); return: Normal exit } if (abs(s.hnext) <= hmin) throw("Step size too small in Odeint");</pre> h=s.hnext; 7 throw("Too many steps in routine Odeint"); 7

The Odeint object doesn't know in advance which specific stepper object it will be instantiated with. It does, however, rely on the fact that the stepper object will be derived from, and thus have the methods in, this StepperBase object, which serves as the base class for all subsequent ODE algorithms in this chapter:

```
struct StepperBase {
Base class for all ODE algorithms.
Doub &x;
Doub xold;
VecDoub &y,&dydx;
Doub atol,rtol;
bool dense;
Doub hdid;
Doub hdid;
Doub hnext;
Stepsize predicted by the controller for the next step.
```

```
};
```

904

### 17.0.3 The Output Object

Output is controlled by the various constructors in the Output structure. The default constructor, with no arguments, suppresses all output. The constructor with argument nsave provides *dense output* provided nsave > 0. This means output at values of x of your choosing, not necessarily the natural places that the stepper method would land. The output points are nsave + 1 uniformly spaced points including x1 and x2. If nsave  $\leq 0$ , output is saved at every integration step, that is, only at the points where the stepper happens to land. While most of your needs should be met by these options, you should find it easy to modify Output for your particular application.

```
odeint.h
           struct Output {
           Structure for output from ODE solver such as Odeint.
               Int kmax;
                                               Current capacity of storage arrays.
               Int nvar;
               Int nsave;
                                               Number of intervals to save at for dense output.
               bool dense;
                                               true if dense output requested.
               Int count:
                                               Number of values actually saved.
               Doub x1,x2,xout,dxout;
               VecDoub xsave:
                                               Results stored in the vector xsave [0..count-1] and the
                                                  matrix ysave [0..nvar-1] [0..count-1].
               MatDoub ysave;
               Output() : kmax(-1),dense(false),count(0) {}
               Default constructor gives no output.
               Output(const Int nsavee) : kmax(500),nsave(nsavee),count(0),xsave(kmax) {
               Constructor provides dense output at nsave equally spaced intervals. If nsave \leq 0, output
               is saved only at the actual integration steps.
                    dense = nsave > 0 ? true : false;
               }
               void init(const Int neqn, const Doub xlo, const Doub xhi) {
               Called by Odeint constructor, which passes negn, the number of equations, xlo, the starting
               point of the integration, and xhi, the ending point.
                   nvar=neqn;
                   if (kmax == -1) return;
                    ysave.resize(nvar,kmax);
                    if (dense) {
                        x1=xlo;
                        x2=xhi:
                        xout=x1:
                        dxout=(x2-x1)/nsave;
                    }
               }
               void resize() {
               Resize storage arrays by a factor of two, keeping saved data.
                   Int kold=kmax;
                   kmax *= 2;
                    VecDoub tempvec(xsave);
```

```
xsave.resize(kmax);
    for (Int k=0; k<kold; k++)</pre>
        xsave[k]=tempvec[k];
    MatDoub tempmat(ysave);
    ysave.resize(nvar,kmax);
    for (Int i=0; i<nvar; i++)</pre>
        for (Int k=0; k<kold; k++)</pre>
            ysave[i][k]=tempmat[i][k];
}
template <class Stepper>
void save_dense(Stepper &s, const Doub xout, const Doub h) {
Invokes dense_out function of stepper routine to produce output at xout. Normally called
by out rather than directly. Assumes that xout is between xold and xold+h, where the
stepper must keep track of xold, the location of the previous step, and x=xold+h, the
current step.
    if (count == kmax) resize();
    for (Int i=0;i<nvar;i++)</pre>
        ysave[i][count]=s.dense_out(i,xout,h);
    xsave[count++]=xout;
}
void save(const Doub x, VecDoub_I &y) {
Saves values of current x and y.
    if (kmax <= 0) return;</pre>
    if (count == kmax) resize();
    for (Int i=0;i<nvar;i++)</pre>
        ysave[i][count]=y[i];
    xsave[count++]=x;
}
template <class Stepper>
void out(const Int nstp,const Doub x,VecDoub_I &y,Stepper &s,const Doub h) {
Typically called by Odeint to produce dense output. Input variables are nstp, the current
step number, the current values of x and y, the stepper s, and the stepsize h. A call with
nstp=-1 saves the initial values. The routine checks whether x is greater than the desired
output point xout. If so, it calls save_dense.
    if (!dense)
        throw("dense output not set in Output!");
    if (nstp == -1) {
        save(x,y);
        xout += dxout:
    } else {
        while ((x-xout)*(x2-x1) > 0.0) {
            save_dense(s,xout,h);
            xout += dxout;
        7
    }
}
```

#### 17.0.4 A Quick-Start Example

,

};

Before we dive deep into the pros and cons of the different stepper types (the meat of this chapter), let's see how to code the solution of an actual problem. Suppose we want to solve Van der Pol's equation, which when written in first-order form is

$$y'_{0} = y_{1}$$
  

$$y'_{1} = [(1 - y_{0}^{2})y_{1} - y_{0}]/\epsilon$$
(17.0.4)

First encapsulate (17.0.4) in a functor (see §1.3.3). Using a functor instead of a bare function gives you the opportunity to pass other information to the function,

such as the values of fixed parameters. Every stepper class in this chapter is accordingly templated on the type of the functor defining the right-hand side derivatives. For our example, the right-hand side functor looks like:

```
struct rhs_van {
    Doub eps;
    rhs_van(Doub epss) : eps(epss) {}
    void operator() (const Doub x, VecDoub_I &y, VecDoub_O &dydx) {
        dydx[0]= y[1];
        dydx[1]=((1.0-y[0]*y[0])*y[1]-y[0])/eps;
    }
};
```

The key thing is the line beginning void operator(): It *always* should have this form, with the definition of dydx following. Here we have chosen to specify  $\epsilon$  as a parameter in the constructor so that the main program can easily pass a specific value to the right-hand side. Alternatively, you could have omitted the constructor, relying on the compiler-supplied default constructor, and hard-coded a value of  $\epsilon$  in the routine. Note, of course, that there is nothing special about the name rhs\_van.

We will integrate from 0 to 2 with initial conditions  $y_0 = 2$ ,  $y_1 = 0$  and with  $\epsilon = 10^{-3}$ . Then your main program will have declarations like the following:

```
const Int nvar=2;
const Doub atol=1.0e-3, rtol=atol, h1=0.01, hmin=0.0, x1=0.0, x2=2.0;
VecDoub ystart(nvar);
ystart[0]=2.0;
ystart[1]=0.0;
Output out(20); Dense output at 20 points plus x1.
rhs_van d(1.0e-3); Declare d as a rhs_van object.
Odeint<StepperDopr5<rhs_van> > ode(ystart,x1,x2,atol,rtol,h1,hmin,out,d);
ode.integrate();
```

Note how the Odeint object is templated on the stepper, which in turn is templated on the derivative object, rhs\_van in this case. The space between the two closing angle brackets is necessary; otherwise the compiler parses >> as the right-shift operator!

The number of good steps taken is available in ode.nok and the number of bad steps in ode.nbad. The output, which is equally spaced, can be printed by statements like

```
for (Int i=0;i<out.count;i++)
    cout << out.xsave[i] << " " << out.ysave[0][i] << " " <<
    out.ysave[1][i] << endl;</pre>
```

You can alternatively save output at the actual integration steps by the declaration

Output out(-1);

or suppress all saving of output with

Output out;

In this case, the solution values at the endpoint are available in ystart[0] and ystart[1], overwriting the starting values.

#### **CITED REFERENCES AND FURTHER READING:**

Gear, C.W. 1971, *Numerical Initial Value Problems in Ordinary Differential Equations* (Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall).

- Acton, F.S. 1970, *Numerical Methods That Work*; 1990, corrected edition (Washington, DC: Mathematical Association of America), Chapter 5.
- Stoer, J., and Bulirsch, R. 2002, Introduction to Numerical Analysis, 3rd ed. (New York: Springer), Chapter 7.
- Hairer, E., Nørsett, S.P., and Wanner, G. 1993, *Solving Ordinary Differential Equations I. Nonstiff Problems*, 2nd ed. (New York: Springer)
- Hairer, E., Nørsett, S.P., and Wanner, G. 1996, *Solving Ordinary Differential Equations II. Stiff* and Differential-Algebraic Problems, 2nd ed. (New York: Springer)
- Lambert, J. 1973, Computational Methods in Ordinary Differential Equations (New York: Wiley).
- Lapidus, L., and Seinfeld, J. 1971, *Numerical Solution of Ordinary Differential Equations* (New York: Academic Press).

## 17.1 Runge-Kutta Method

The formula for the Euler method is

y

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + hf(x_n, y_n)$$
(17.1.1)

which advances a solution from  $x_n$  to  $x_{n+1} \equiv x_n + h$ . The formula is unsymmetrical: It advances the solution through an interval h, but uses derivative information only at the beginning of that interval (see Figure 17.1.1). That means (and you can verify by expansion in power series) that the step's error is only one power of h smaller than the correction, i.e.,  $O(h^2)$  added to (17.1.1).

There are several reasons that Euler's method is not recommended for practical use, among them, (i) the method is not very accurate when compared to other, fancier, methods run at the equivalent stepsize, and (ii) neither is it very stable (see §17.5 below).

Consider, however, the use of a step like (17.1.1) to take a "trial" step to the midpoint of the interval. Then use the values of both x and y at that midpoint to compute the "real" step across the whole interval. Figure 17.1.2 illustrates the idea. In equations,

$$k_{1} = hf(x_{n}, y_{n})$$

$$k_{2} = hf\left(x_{n} + \frac{1}{2}h, y_{n} + \frac{1}{2}k_{1}\right)$$

$$(17.1.2)$$

$$h_{n+1} = y_{n} + k_{2} + O(h^{3})$$

As indicated in the error term, this symmetrization cancels out the first-order error term, making the method *second order*. [A method is conventionally called *n*th order if its error term is  $O(h^{n+1})$ .] In fact, (17.1.2) is called the *second-order Runge-Kutta* or *midpoint* method.

We needn't stop there. There are many ways to evaluate the right-hand side f(x, y) that all agree to first order, but that have different coefficients of higher-order error terms. Adding up the right combination of these, we can eliminate the error terms order by order. That is the basic idea of the Runge-Kutta method. Abramowitz and Stegun [1] and Gear [2] give various specific formulas that derive from this basic idea. By far the most often used is the classical *fourth-order Runge-Kutta formula*,