

X-ray Emitting Galaxies in the SDSS



John K. Parejko¹ (parejkoj@drexel.edu), Michael S. Vogeley¹, Joseph B. Hyde², Anca Constantin³, Robert J. Thornton²

1. Drexel University, 2. University of Pennsylvania, 3. Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics

3).

show

higher

Transitions

in section

the lowest mean X-ray

luminosity, with LINERs

luminosity, on average.

RASS matched quasars

are shown for reference.

~3x

Seyfert galaxies

Matching the ROSAT All Sky Survey (RASS) and the SDSS results in many ambiguous matches. In a previous paper (Parejko et al., 2008) we described a method for statistically identifying likely matches between these surveys based on spectroscopic classification. The large sample size and spectroscopic uniformity of the SDSS allow us to systematically constrain the types of galaxies that are detected by RASS. We identify likely soft X-ray emitting galaxies in the SDSS, constructing a large, uniform sample of RASS-detected galaxies of various spectral types. We perform a 2-dimensional optical light profile reconstruction (point source+deVaucouleurs) on these galaxies to extract the AGN flux. For this sample we describe the emission-line to X-ray flux ratios and the relationship between the central point source and the X-ray emission. We find a variation in X-ray luminosity vs. optical emission-line slope between different spectral classes as well as weak trends in extracted AGN color vs. X-ray luminosity. We are also applying the matching method developed with SDSS to match other galaxy catalogs to RASS, thus constraining the RASS detection fraction for very nearby galaxies.

1. Background





Galaxies possessing emission lines with detections spectroscopically are >2σ classified as Seyfert, LINER, Transition, H II or Unclassified by their location in three emission line flux ratio diagrams (Kewley et al. 2006). Passive galaxies have no detected emission lines while Unclassifiable galaxies have $<2\sigma$ detections for one or more of the left To the show we relevant lines. histograms of the separation between the RASS and SDSS sources and simulated histograms grouped by spectral type. The histograms simulated total (green) are produced from a random match component "simulated match" (purple) and true component (blue). For details, see Parejko et al. (2008).

2. X-ray properties



The luminosity/redshift distribution is due to a combination of selection effects, including the RASS flux limits, the r<17.77 SDSS spectroscopic galaxy selection and the emission-line detection limits (we require $>2\sigma$ detections for each of the relevant lines). Passive galaxies have by far the highest mean redshifts of the sample, with many of them being drawn from the SDSS Large Red Galaxy (LRG) sample. This hints that the X-rays are coming from intra-cluster gas (see

note

and

showing



 $L_{X(.2-2keV)}$ vs. $L_{H\alpha}$ at %75.0 good matches $L_{X(.2-2keV)}$ vs. $L_{H\beta}$ at %75.0 good matches 45 43

Note that the "true matching fraction" (true/total, red, using the right-hand axis.) is dependent strongly on spectroscopic

classification. Galaxies with a strong AGN component have a high matching fraction out to relatively large radii, while essentially no H II galaxies are detected by RASS. This contrasts with spectroscopic surveys of RASS sources (e.g. Kollatschny et al., 2008) which claim to find H II galaxies with RASS detections. We are working on a systematic test to determine the RASS detection fractions for very low redshift galaxies (NGC, UGC, etc.) to reconcile these differences.

We consider here only galaxies with > 75% RASS matching fraction.

3. Photometric Properties

To model the host galaxy and AGN in the Passive LINER SDSS photometry, we use a two-component model, with a deVaucouleurs model including ellipticity and position angle for the galaxy, and a point source determined from the point spread function around the target galaxy for the AGN component. Transition Seyfert The Passive, LINER, Transition and Seyfert galaxies show different trends in color vs. Xray luminosity (.2-2keV, as before). Host 🖟 galaxy components are blue dots, AGN components are red pluses. The LINERs and Transitions show different slopes between the AGN and galaxy components, with brighter Xray sources having bluer AGN. On the other hand, Passive galaxies have similar slopes for the AGN and galaxy components, which may be due to their high redshifts and thus their small angular sizes and weak 0.2 0.2 0.2 magnitudes--the model may be extracting an AGN Fractior AGN Fraction AGN Fraction "AGN" from the photometric noise. If these Passives are the bright central galaxies of Transition: high-z clusters, this could explain the trend of f_x vs. optical redder galaxy and "AGN" with brighter X-ray AGN fraction luminosity (redder BCGs are brighter and lie in bigger clusters which have brighter 0.2 0.4 intracluster gas). Transition galaxies show a trend of higher X-ray flux with larger model AGN fraction. This trend persists across the SDSS ugriz filters, but Transitions are the only spectral class with a clear relation between X-ray flux and AGN fraction.







Strong correlations between 2-10 keV Xray and optical emission line luminosity have been found for H α , H β and [OIII] (Ho et al., 2001, Panessa et al., 2006) in 🔶 luminous both sources and with high underluminous sources resolution X-ray data. Our data (ROSAT .2-2keV, fluxes computed with PIMMS assuming α =1, N_H=10²⁰) is at softer X-ray energies and lower resolution but provides a large sample size and broad range of luminosities and spectral



classes. There appear to be systematic differences between the X-ray/emission line ratios between the different classes (a linear regression fit to each class is shown), with the slope increasing from quasars to Seyferts and Transitions to LINERs. Again, SDSS quasars with RASS matches are shown for reference, though note that the quasar emission lines are broad, while our galaxies have only narrow lines.

Acknowledgements & References

- Parejko J. K., Constantin A., Vogeley M. S., Hoyle F., 2008, AJ, **135**, 10
- Ho L. C., et al., 2001, ApJ, **549**, 51
- Kollatschny W, et al., 2008, A&A, **484**, 897
- Kewley L. J., Groves B., Kauffmann G., Heckman T., 2006, MNRAS, **372**, 961



